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#### Abstract

A class of linear codes that extends classical Goppa codes to a non-commutative context is defined. An efficient decoding algorithm, based on the solution of a non-commutative key equation, is designed. We show how the parameters of these codes, when the alphabet is a finite field, may be adjusted to propose a McEliece-type cryptosystem.


## 1 Skew Goppa codes

The results of this talk can be found in $[3,4]$. Let $R=L[x ; \sigma, \partial]$ be an Ore extension of a field. Let $F \subseteq L$ be a subfield such that $[L: F]=m$. Let $g \in R$ be a nonzero twosided polynomial, $\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} \in L$ be P-independent elements such that $\left(x-\alpha_{i}, g\right)_{\ell}=1$ for all $0 \leq i \leq n-1, h_{i} \in R$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i}\right)<\operatorname{deg}(g)$ and $\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right) h_{i}-1 \in R g$, and $\eta_{0}, \ldots, \eta_{n-1} \in L^{*}$. A (generalized) skew differential Goppa code $\mathcal{C} \subseteq F^{n}$ is defined as

$$
\mathcal{C}=\left\{\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{n-1}\right) \in F^{n} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{i} \eta_{i} c_{i} \in R g\right\}
$$

We say that $\left\{\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}\right\}$ are the positional points, $g$ is the skew differential Goppa polynomial and $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{n-1}$ are the parity check polynomials.

For a received word $r=c+e \in F^{n}$, where $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $e=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} e_{j} \varepsilon_{k_{j}}$ with $e_{j} \neq 0$ for $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, The syndrome polynomial is defined and computed as

$$
s=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} h_{i} \eta_{i} r_{i}
$$

We define the error locator polynomial as

$$
\lambda=\left[\left\{x-\alpha_{k_{j}} \mid 1 \leq j \leq \nu\right\}\right]_{\ell} .
$$

Then $\operatorname{deg}(\lambda) \leq \nu$ and, for all $1 \leq j \leq \nu$, there exists $\rho_{k_{j}} \in R$ such that $\operatorname{deg}\left(\rho_{k_{j}}\right) \leq \nu-1$ and

$$
\lambda=\rho_{k_{j}}\left(x-\alpha_{k_{j}}\right)
$$

The error evaluator polynomial is defined as

$$
\omega=\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} \rho_{k_{j}} \eta_{k_{j}} e_{j} .
$$

It follows that $\operatorname{deg}(\omega)<\nu$.
Theorem 1.1. The error locator $\lambda$ and the error evaluator $\omega$ polynomials satisfy the non-commutative key equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\kappa g+\lambda s \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\kappa \in R$. Assume that $\nu \leq t=\left\lfloor\frac{\operatorname{deg} g}{2}\right\rfloor$. Let $u_{I}, v_{I}$ and $r_{I}$ be the Bezout coefficients returned by the left extended Euclidean algorithm (LEEA) with input $g$ and $s$, where $I$ is the index determined by the conditions $\operatorname{deg} r_{I-1} \geq t$ and $\operatorname{deg} r_{I}<t$. Then there exists $h \in R$ such that $\kappa=h u_{I}, \lambda=h v_{I}$ and $\omega=h r_{I}$.

This theorem allows to use the LEEA to solve the key equation. Decoding failures, which can appear when $(\lambda, \omega)_{\ell} \neq 1$, are solved in a similar way to [2].

## 2 A McEliece cryptosystem based on skew Goppa codes

When $\mathbb{F}_{q}=F \subseteq L=\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}$ and $\partial=0$, with $q=p^{d}$, we propose a key encapsulation mechanism based in McEliece and Niederreiter's cryptosystems (see $[1,5,6]$ ). Assume $\sigma(a)=a^{p^{h}}$ and let $\delta=(h, d m)$, $\mu=\frac{d m}{\delta}$. Then $K=\mathbb{F}_{p^{\delta}}$. Then it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{\frac{n}{10 t}, \frac{n \delta}{d\left(p^{\delta}-1\right)}\right\} \leq m \leq \frac{n}{4 t} \text { and } \delta \mid d m \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our proposal of a McEliece cryptosystem follows the dual version of Niederreiter [6], by means of a key encapsulations mechanism like the one proposed in [1].

### 2.1 Key schedule

The inputs are $n \gg t$ and $F=\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with $q=p^{d}$. In order to generate the public and private keys for a McEliece type cryptosystem, parameters $m, \delta, h$ have to be found. The values of $m, \delta$ can be computed randomly via an exhaustive search looking for pairs satisfying (2). We set $k=n-2 t\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4 t}\right\rfloor$, the smaller possible dimension. Next pick randomly $h \leq d m$ such that $(h, d m)=\delta$, and let $\mu=\frac{d m}{\delta}$, $L=\mathbb{F}_{q^{m}}, K=\mathbb{F}_{p^{\delta}}$ and $\sigma=\tau^{h}: L \rightarrow L$. Fix a basis of $L$ over $F$ and denote $\mathfrak{v}: L \rightarrow F^{m}$ the map providing the coordinates with respect to this basis. Let also denote $R=L[x ; \sigma]$.

Our set of positional points are going to be selected amongst the points in a maximal left P independent set. We randomly pick a normal basis $\left\{\alpha, \sigma(\alpha), \ldots, \sigma^{\mu-1}(\alpha)\right\}$ and a primitive element $\gamma$ of $L$. Let

$$
\mathrm{P}=\left\{\left.\gamma^{i} \frac{\sigma^{j+1}(\alpha)}{\sigma^{j}(\alpha)} \right\rvert\, 0 \leq i \leq p^{\delta}-2,0 \leq j \leq \mu-1\right\}
$$

The list $\mathrm{E}=\left\{\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}\right\}$ of positional points is obtained by a random selection of $n$ points in P .
The skew Goppa polynomial is twosided, hence $g=\bar{g} x^{a}$ where $\bar{g} \in Z(R)=K\left[x^{\mu}\right]$. Since $0 \notin \mathrm{E}$, if $\bar{g}$ is irreducible as polynomial in $K\left[x^{\mu}\right]$, we get $\left(g, x-\alpha_{i}\right)_{\ell}=1$ for all $\alpha_{i} \in \mathrm{E}$. Hence we randomly choose a monic irreducible polynomial $\bar{g} \in K[y]$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(\bar{g})=\lfloor 2 t / \mu\rfloor$ and set $g=\bar{g}\left(x^{\mu}\right) x^{2 t \bmod \mu}$.

Finally, the right extended Euclidean algorithm allows to compute $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{n-1} \in R$ such that, for each $0 \leq i \leq n-1, \operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i}\right)<2 t$ and

$$
\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right) h_{i}-1 \in R g
$$

In fact $\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i}\right)=2 t-1$ by a degree argument.
A parity check matrix for our code is

$$
H=\left(\mathfrak{v}\left(\sigma^{-j}\left(h_{i, j}\right) u_{i}\right)\right)_{\substack{0 \leq j \leq 2 t-1 \\ 0 \leq i \leq n-1}} \in F^{(2 t m) \times n}
$$

where $h_{i}=\sum_{j=0}^{2 t-1} h_{i, j} x^{j}$. Once $H$ is computed, the public key of our cryptosystem can be computed as follows: Let $r_{H}=\operatorname{rank}(H)$ and $R \in F^{\left(n-k-r_{H}\right) \times n}$ a random matrix. The $H_{\text {pub }}$ consists in the non zero rows of the reduced row echelon form of the block matrix $\left(\frac{H}{R}\right)$. If $H_{\text {pub }}$ has less that $n-k$ rows, pick a new $R$. After this Key Schedule in the Key encapsulation mechanism, the different values remain as follows:

Parameters $t \ll n, q=p^{d}$ and $k=n-2 t\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4 t}\right\rfloor$.
Public Key $H_{\text {pub }} \in F^{(n-k) \times n}$.
Private Key $L, \sigma, E=\left\{\alpha_{0}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}\right\}, g$ and $h_{0}, \ldots, h_{n-1}$.
The other parameters and computed elements are not used in the encryption and decryption processes.

### 2.2 Encryption procedure: shared key derived by the sender

We pick a random vector, i.e. $e \in F^{n}$ such that $\mathrm{w}(e)=t$, with corresponding polynomial $e(x)=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{\nu} e_{j} x^{k_{j}}, \nu \leq t$ and $0 \leq k_{1}<k_{2}<\cdots<k_{\nu} \leq n-1$. The sender can easily derive a shared secret key from $e$ by means of a fixed and publicly known hash function $\mathcal{H}$. The cryptogram is

$$
s=e H_{\mathrm{pub}}^{\mathrm{T}} \in F^{n-k}
$$

### 2.3 Decryption procedure: shared key derived by the receiver

The receiver can easily compute $y \in F^{n}$ such that

$$
s=y H_{\mathrm{pub}}^{\mathrm{T}}
$$

since $H_{\text {pub }}$ is in row reduced echelon form. Let $y(x)=\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y_{i} x^{i}$. The decoding algorithm in [2] can be applied to $y(x)$ in order to compute $e$. Then the shared secret key can be retrieved by the receiver as $\mathcal{H}(e)$.
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